## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.

Plaintiff,

VS.

TIFFANY & COMPANY, A Foreign for Profit Corporation D/B/A TIFFANY & CO.

Defendant.		
		,

# COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff, ARANTZA ESPINOZA, through undersigned counsel, sues Defendant, TIFFANY & COMPANY, a foreign corporation d/b/a TIFFANY & CO. (hereinafter referred to as "TIFFANY & CO."), for declaratory and injunctive relief, and damages, and alleges as follows:

- 1) This action is brought under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), that is codified in 42 U.S.C. §§12181-12189.
- 2) This action is also brought pursuant to 28 C.F.R. Part 36.
- 3) This Court has jurisdiction over this case based on federal question jurisdiction, as provided in 28 U.S.C. §1331 and the provisions of the ADA.
- 4) Furthermore, because this Court has jurisdiction over the ADA claim, the Court has supplementary jurisdiction over Plaintiff's common law tort claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 5) Plaintiff is sui juris, and she is disabled as defined by the ADA and ADA Amendments Act of 2008, 42 U.S.C. §12101 ("ADAAA").

- 6) Defendant, TIFFANY & CO., is a foreign limited liability company authorized to do business and doing business in the State of Florida.
- 7) Defendant, TIFFANY & CO., is a company that sells men and women jewelry, engagement rings, watches, accessories, fragrance and home décor. There is a retail location in Miami-Dade County.
- 8) Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 9) Plaintiff desires to prevent discrimination and demands equal access to Defendant's internet website to purchase a necklace and a key ring.
- 10) Plaintiff also seeks declaratory and injunctive relief for trespass against the Plaintiff's computer. The computer is Plaintiff's personal property, and a claim for trespass attached to same.
- 11) The Defendant is also liable for compensatory damages to Plaintiff as a result of the trespass to Plaintiff's personal property.
- 12) The remedies provided under common law for trespass are not exclusive, and same may be sought in connection with suits brought under the ADA.
- 13) Venue is proper in the Southern District of Florida, Miami-Dade Division, since all events, actions, injuries, and damages complained of herein occurred in the Southern District of Florida.
- 14) Furthermore, Plaintiff is a resident of Miami-Dade County which falls within the Miami Division of the Southern District of Florida.
- 15) At all relevant times, Plaintiff is and was visually impaired and has Leber Congenital Amaurosis since birth that substantially impairs Plaintiff's vision.
- 16) Plaintiff's visual impairment interferes with her day-to-day activities and causes limitations in visualizing their environment. As such, Plaintiff is a member of a protected class under the

- ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1) (2), the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101, et seq., and in 42 U.S.C. 3602, §802(h).
- 17) Plaintiff regularly uses the computer, but she needs the assistance of special software for visually impaired persons. The software that she uses is screen reader software that is readily available commercially.
- Defendant is a private entity which owns and operates retail locations. The stores are open to the public, and each of Defendant's locations is defined as a "public accommodation" within the meaning of Title III of the ADA because Defendant is a private entity which owns and/or operates "[A] bakery, grocery store, clothing store, hardware store, shopping center, or other sales or rental establishment," per 42 U.S.C. §12181(7)(E) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104(2).
- 19) Defendant's website is a place of public accommodation per 42 U.S.C. Section 12181(7)(E) because it's an extension of Defendant's brick and mortar stores. The public is able to, among other things, view the products available at defendant's locations, purchase jewelry, engagement rings, watches, accessories fragrance and home décor through defendant's website, subscribe to Defendant's newsletter, create an account and register to track orders, create a wish list, and learn the story behind Defendant's brand, and book an appointment.
- 20) Since the Defendant's website is a public accommodation, it must comply with the requirements of the ADA. The website cannot discriminate against individuals with disabilities.
- 21) Plaintiff is a customer of Defendant who is and was interested in purchasing a necklace and a key ring through Defendant's website and at Defendant's stores and visiting Defendant's brick and mortar locations.

- Plaintiff is not able to visit the physical locations without the assistance of a family member or caretaker, so the ability to purchase merchandise on Defendant's website for delivery to her home is important to her as an alternative when she is not able to visit the Defendant's stores.
- 23) The website also services Defendant's physical stores by providing information on its brand, product care and repair, and other information that Defendant is interested in communicating to its customers about its physical locations.
- Since the website allows the public the ability to view the products available at defendant's locations, purchase jewelry, engagement rings, watches, accessories fragrance and home décor, through defendant's website, subscribe to Defendant's newsletter, create an account and register to track orders, create a wish list, and learn the story behind Defendant's brand, and book an appointment, the website is an extension of, and gateway to, Defendant's physical stores. By this nexus, the website is characterized as an intangible service, privilege and advantage provided by a place of public accommodation as defined under the ADA, and thus an extension of the services, privileges and advantages made available to the general public by Defendant through its retail brick and mortar stores.
- Because the public can view and purchase Defendant's merchandise that is also offered for sale by Defendant at its physical stores, subscribe to Defendant's newsletter, create an account and register to track orders, create a wish list, and learn the story behind Defendant's brand, and book an appointment, the website is an extension of and gateway to the physical stores, which are places of public accommodation pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7)(E). As such, the website, as an intangible service, privilege and advantage of Defendant's brick and mortar locations, must be fully accessible and in compliance with the ADA, must not discriminate against individuals with

disabilities, and must not deny full and equal enjoyment of the same services, privileges and advantages afforded to the general public both online and at the physical locations.

- At all times material hereto, Defendant was and still is an organization owning and operating the website located at <a href="https://www.tiffany.com/">https://www.tiffany.com/</a> Since the website is open through the internet to the public as an extension of the retail stores, by this nexus the website is an intangible service, privilege and advantage of Defendant's brick and mortar locations, and Defendant has subjected itself and the associated website it created and maintains to the requirements of the ADA.
- Plaintiff is and/or has been a customer who is interested in patronizing, and intends to patronize, Defendant's physical stores, and purchase Defendant's merchandise, sign up for Defendant's newsletter and create an account through the website and at Defendant's physical stores.
- The opportunity to shop Defendant's merchandise from her home is an important accommodation for Plaintiff because traveling outside of the home as a visually disabled individual is often a difficult, hazardous, frustrating, confusing, and frightening experience. Defendant has not provided its business information in any other digital format that is accessible for use by blind and visually disabled individuals using screen reader software.
- 29) Like most consumers, Plaintiff accesses numerous websites at a time to compare merchandise and prices. Plaintiff may look at several dozens of sites to compare features and prices.
- 30) During the months of September and October, 2022, Plaintiff attempted on several occasions to utilize the website to browse through the merchandise to educate herself as to the merchandise and with the intent to make a purchase through the website or at one of Defendant's physical stores.

- 31) Places of public accommodation are not just brick-and-mortar structures. The United States Department of Justice and the binding case law increasingly recognize that private entities are providing goods and services to the public through websites that operate as places of public accommodation under Title III.
- 32) Defendant is required to make reasonable accommodations to its websites for individuals with disabilities to allow them to participate in web-based promotions and obtain goods or services via the Internet just as sighted persons are able to do.
- Plaintiff utilized Chrome Vox ("Screen Reader Software") to attempt to purchase a necklace and a key ring on Defendant's website. However, the Plaintiff was not able to freely and fully use Defendant's website because it contains access barriers that make it inaccessible to persons with disabilities, and for which there is no reasonable accommodation for the Plaintiff.
- A person who can see can enjoy the benefits and privileges provided by Defendant's website that include, but are not limited to viewing the products available at defendant's locations, purchasing jewelry, engagement rings, watches, accessories fragrance and home décor, through defendant's website, subscribe to Defendant's newsletter, create an account and register to track orders, create a wish list, and learn the story behind Defendant's brand, and book an appointment.
- A person who cannot see, like the Plaintiff in this case, cannot go to Defendant's website and avail themselves of the same privileges. Thus, the Plaintiff has suffered discrimination due to Defendant's failure to provide a reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff's disability.
- The Department of Justice has provided useful guidance regarding website accessibility under the ADA, and the binding and persuasive case law in this district has applied the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines ("WCAG") 2.0 or 2.1 to determine accessibility.

37) Defendant's website does in fact fail the following WCAG 2.0-AA Compliance standards and it does not provide sufficient alternatives to serve the equivalent purpose:

System settings while doing the review:

Operating system: Windows 10

Browser: Google Chrome v. 105.0.5195.127

Screen Reader: NVDA v. 2022.3

List of a few of the violations encountered:

Violation: The complimentary offer at the top of the page is not explained in an audible format and the button that closes it has no audible label that explains what it does. Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 3.3.2 Labels or Instructions (Level A).

Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/p8bd611w0i9re76/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_01.mp4?dl=0

Violation: The top right section of the main navigation has a series of links that are labeled blank or clickable landmark banner button. These labels do not provide indicate to the user what they are for.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 3.3.2 Labels or Instructions (Level A). Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/cwq68hv23jeqnoo/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_02.mp4?dl=0

Violation: There is no skip to content mechanism provided. This forces the user to cycle though the main navigation and secondary navigation options before moving on to the main content.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 2.4.1 Bypass Blocks (Level A). Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/2kfoqt3xvsjaqxp/2022 10 09 175139 03.mp4?dl=0

Violation: Shortly after a product page loads there's a pop up modal that comes into focus. This modal interrupts the expected sequence and focus order for the user. In addition to that, once the user closes the modal the focus is shifted to the links at the bottom of the page, again not the expected sequence and focus order.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 2.4.3 Focus Order (Level A). Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/jyuvj5k93qprsei/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_04.mp4?dl=0

Violation: The plus button in the quantity section within the product description is not given an audible label that provides context. The minus button has no label at all.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 3.3.2 Labels or Instructions (Level A).

Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/8z8lviqczx2lszv/2022 10 09 175139 05.mp4?dl=0

Violation: When an item is added to the bag, there's no audible indication that the checkout modal is in focus. Furthermore, the modal disappears after a few seconds and

the focus shifts to the content directly below the *Add to Bag* button. The user then must go through a long carousel of *You May Also Like* items, *The Tiffany Experience* and the footer links before going back to the main menu and being able to access the link for checking out.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 2.4.3 Focus Order (Level A). Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/n54o7h90mze0sr9/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_06.mp4?dl=0

Violation: When an input error is automatically detected with gift card number and or gift card pin, the error is not described to the user in an audible way.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 3.3.1 Error Identification (Level A). Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/fbvo26iu1us2qhf/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_08.mp4?dl=0

- 38) Furthermore, Defendant's website does not contain accessibility assistance that would direct a visually impaired person like the Plaintiff to someone who she can contact for assistance, questions, or concerns.
- 39) Thus, Defendant has not provided full and equal enjoyment of the services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations provided by and through the website, in contravention of the ADA.
- 40) On information and belief, Defendant is, and at all times has been, aware of the barriers to its website which prevent individuals with disabilities who are visually impaired from

comprehending the information within same, and is also aware of the need to provide access to all persons who visit its site.

- Plaintiff has no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged in this Complaint, such that this suit for declaratory judgment and injunctive relief is her only means to secure adequate and complete redress from Defendant's discriminatory practices in connection with use of its website.
- 42) Notice to Defendant is not required because of Defendant's failure to cure the violations.
- Enforcement of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA is right and just pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 44) Plaintiff has retained the undersigned attorneys to represent her in this case, and has agreed to pay them a reasonable fee for their services.

#### **COUNT I – VIOLATION OF THE ADA**

- 45) Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 44 as if set forth fully herein.
- 46) Defendant owns and operates the <a href="https://www.tiffany.com/">https://www.tiffany.com/</a> website, and is a public accommodation subject to the ADA pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7)(E).
- Defendant's website is inaccessible to persons with disabilities like the Plaintiff, who is visually impaired. Plaintiff was not able to enjoy full and equal access to the information and services that Defendant has made available to the public on its website, in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12101. *et seq*, and as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. §12182 *et seq*.
- 48) Defendant's website is not in compliance with the ADA.
- 49) Defendant has made no reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff's disability.

50) A cursory review of a portion of the Defendant's website revealed that the website is not accessible to persons like the Plaintiff that are visually impaired as required by law and for which there is no sufficient alternative on Defendant's website, including:

System settings while doing the review:

Operating system: Windows 10

Browser: Google Chrome v. 105.0.5195.127

Screen Reader: NVDA v. 2022.3

List of a few of the violations encountered:

Violation: The complimentary offer at the top of the page is not explained in an audible

format and the button that closes it has no audible label that explains what it does.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 3.3.2 Labels or Instructions (Level A).

Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/p8bd61lw0i9re76/2022 10 09 175139 01.mp4?dl=0

Violation: The top right section of the main navigation has a series of links that are labeled blank or clickable landmark banner button. These labels do not provide indicate

to the user what they are for.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 3.3.2 Labels or Instructions (Level A).

Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/cwq68hv23jeqnoo/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_02.mp4?dl=0

Violation: There is no skip to content mechanism provided. This forces the user to cycle though the main navigation and secondary navigation options before moving on to the main content.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 2.4.1 Bypass Blocks (Level A). Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/2kfoqt3xvsjaqxp/2022 10 09 175139 03.mp4?dl=0

Violation: Shortly after a product page loads there's pop up modal that comes into focus. This modal interrupts the expected sequence and focus order for the user. In addition to that, once the user closes the modal the focus is shifted to the links at the bottom of the page, again not the expected sequence and focus order.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 2.4.3 Focus Order (Level A).

Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/jyuvj5k93qprsei/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_04.mp4?dl=0

Violation: The plus button in the quantity section within the product description is not given an audible label that provides context. The minus button has no label at all.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 3.3.2 Labels or Instructions (Level A).

Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/8z8lviqczx2lszv/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_05.mp4?dl=0

Violation: When an item is added to the bag, there's no audible indication that the checkout modal is in focus. Furthermore, the modal disappears after a few seconds and the focus shifts to the content directly below the *Add to Bag* button. The user then must go through a long carousel of *You May Also Like* items, *The Tiffany Experience* and the

footer links before going back to the main menu and being able to access the link for checking out.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 2.4.3 Focus Order (Level A). Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/n54o7h90mze0sr9/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_06.mp4?dl=0

Violation: When an input error is automatically detected with gift card number and or gift card pin, the error is not described to the user in an audible way.

Applicable WCAG 2.1 Standard at Issue: Standard 3.3.1 Error Identification (Level A). Screen Capture Evidence:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/fbvo26iu1us2qhf/2022\_10\_09\_175139\_08.mp4?dl=0

- 51) Due to Defendant's failure to provide an ADA compliant website, the Plaintiff has been injured since she has been denied full access to Defendant's website.
- As a result, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12133 and 28 C.F.R. §36.303 to correct the inaccessibility that leads to discrimination against visually impaired persons.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests entry of judgment in her favor and against Defendant for the following relief:

- A. A declaration that Defendant's website is in violation of the ADA;
- B. An Order requiring Defendant, by a date certain, to update its website, and continue to monitor and update its website on an ongoing basis, to remove barriers in order that individuals with visual disabilities can access, and continue to access, the website and effectively communicate with the website to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;

- C. An Order requiring Defendant, by a date certain, to clearly display the universal disabled logo within its website, wherein the logo [1] would lead to a page which would state Defendant's accessibility information, facts, policies, and accommodations. Such a clear display of the disabled logo is to ensure that individuals who are disabled are aware of the availability of the accessible features of the website;
- D. An Order requiring Defendant, by a date certain, to provide ongoing support for web accessibility by implementing a website accessibility coordinator, a website application accessibility policy, and providing for website accessibility feedback to insure compliance thereto.
- E. An Order directing Defendant, by a date certain to evaluate its policies, practices and procedures toward persons with disabilities, for such reasonable time to allow Defendant to undertake and complete corrective procedures to its website;
- F. An Order directing Defendant, by a date certain, to establish a policy of web accessibility and accessibility features for its website to insure effective communication for individuals who are visually disabled;
- G. An Order requiring, by a date certain, that any third-party vendors who participate on Defendant's website to be fully accessible to the visually disabled;
- H. An Order directing Defendant, by a date certain and at least once yearly thereafter, to provide mandatory web accessibility training to all employees who write or develop programs or code for, or who publish final content to, Defendant's website on how to conform all web content and services with ADA accessibility requirements and applicable accessibility guidelines;
- I. An Order directing Defendant, by a date certain and at least once every three months thereafter, to conduct automated accessibility tests of its website to identify any instances where the website is no longer in conformance with the accessibility requirements of the ADA and any

applicable accessibility guidelines, and further directing Defendant to send a copy of the twelve (12) quarterly reports to Plaintiff's counsel for review.

- J. An Order directing Defendant, by a date certain, to make publicly available and directly link from its website homepage, a statement of Defendant's Accessibility Policy to ensure the persons with disabilities have full and equal enjoyment of its website and shall accompany the public policy statement with an accessible means of submitting accessibility questions and problems.
- K. An award to Plaintiff of her reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to in 42 U.S.C. §§12181-12189, and pursuant to such other laws and statutes that may apply, and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.



#### **COUNT II – TRESPASS**

- 53) Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 44 as if set forth herein.
- Defendant's website contains software analytics. Since Plaintiff has navigated Defendant's website as stated herein, Plaintiff's computer and the personal information and browsing history stored therein, has suffered a trespass by Defendant.
- 55) Plaintiff never consented to and was unaware that Defendant's website was placing software on her computer.

- Defendant committed common law trespass in violation of Florida law against Plaintiff because Plaintiff did not consent to the placement of tracking and information securing software on her personal computer, which was done without her knowledge and consent.
- 57) Defendant's trespass has damaged Plaintiff by affecting the condition and value of her computer.
- On its website, Defendant has an internet privacy policy section that can only be accessed by clicking a barely visible section at the bottom of the page called "Cookies Policy", providing that they use cookies and similar technologies to collect a consumer's information and they obtain non-public information from their users for their own advertising and marketing purposes by placing software on its website that collects a website user's preferences and internet browsing habits as follows:

Cookies Policy

Tiffany & Co. cookie policy

Cookies are automated means through which we collect information on our website and by which we can sometimes track information about your use of our website. We use cookies to optimize your user experience, the functionality of our website and our service to you. When you visit our site, our web server sends a cookie to your computer, which allows us to recognize your computer to perform a variety of functions.

By proceeding to navigate beyond this page, you consent to our cookie settings and agree that you understand this Cookies Policy and our *Privacy Notice*. Please note that you may restrict cookies by changing your browser settings, but that such restrictions will potentially degrade and impact your user experience and functionality of our website.

What purposes do cookies serve?

- 1. Cookies Support Basic Website Functionality: Some cookies are functional and necessary cookies that support essential features of our website, such as storing your shopping cart selections while you continue to shop and navigate to different pages of our website.
- 2. Cookies Can Help Improve the Quality of Our Website and Services: Cookies collect information (including sometimes IP address) to assess how you use our website, so that we can improve the navigability of our site and provide you with better service. We use this information to:

Provide aggregate and anonymous statistics on how our site is used;

See how effective our advertisements are by identifying where you click and from which website you arrived;

Provide feedback to carefully selected third party partners that one of our visitors also visited their website;

Help us improve the site by capturing errors in your browsing experience.

Provide you with improved site functionality by allowing access to additional services or restoring your shopping bag if you wish to return on a subsequent browsing session.

3. Cookies May Share Information With Our Carefully Chosen Third-Party Partners:

Third-party cookies may share information with partners to provide services on our site.

The information shared is only to be used to provide the service or function (such as social media "like" and "share" buttons). Certain third-party cookies can be used to offer a customized user experience, by providing you with interest-based services (see "Interest-Based Advertising") both on this site and on some other websites as well. Some of these customized browsing experiences may be linked to services provided by third

parties which provide these services to recognize that you have visited our site. This information is used to inform you of Tiffany products and services which may be of interest to you. These cookies may also link to social media networks such as Facebook or provide advertising agencies with information about your visit so that they can present you with advertisements for Tiffany products and services which may be of interest to you.

Managing your cookie preferences

To manage the third-party cookies served on our site, please visit the relevant websites listed here:

Google Analytics: <a href="https://policies.google.com/privacy">https://policies.google.com/privacy</a>

Adobe Analytics: <a href="http://www.adobe.com/privacy/analytics.html#1">http://www.adobe.com/privacy/analytics.html#1</a>

Sizmek: http://www.sizmek.com/about-us/privacy

To manage all cookies on our site, including those that are served by us, you can change your browser settings. For additional information on how to manage all cookies please visit <a href="http://www.allaboutcookies.org/">http://www.allaboutcookies.org/</a>. Please note that disabling, blocking or deleting cookies in your browser settings may impact your ability to fully use our website. Please see our <a href="Privacy Notice">Privacy Notice</a> for further details about what information we collect and how we use any personal information you give us, however not all information captured by using cookies will identify you.

59) Due to Plaintiff's disability, she could not understand Defendant's website and she could not give informed consent to Defendant's installation of data and information tracking software

on her computer. Defendant also could not give informed consent to Defendant's collection of her browsing history and the placement of analytics on her computer.

Thus, Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to redress Defendant's knowing and reckless disregard for Plaintiff's right to exclude others from her computer and determine which programs should be installed and operated on her computer.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's damages, interest, costs, and such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

### **Request for Jury Trial**

61) Plaintiff requests a jury trial.

#### Submitted by:

Mendez Law Offices, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff P.O. BOX 228630 Miami, Florida 33172 Telephone: 305.264.9090

Facsimile: 1-305.809.8474

Email: in fo@mendez law of fices.com

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/

DIEGO GERMAN MENDEZ, ESQ.

FL BAR NO.: 52748

Adams & Associates, P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 6500 Cowpen Road, Suite 101 Miami Lakes, FL 33014 Telephone: 786-290-1963

Facsimile: 305-824-3868

Email: radamslaw@bellsouth.net

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/

RICHARD J. ADAMS, ESQ.

FL BAR NO.: 770434